
HYDERABAD – ccNSO Council Meeting
Monday, November 07, 2016 – 17:00 to 18:30 IST
ICANN57 | Hyderabad, India

KATRINA SATAKI: That's a usual thing for Bart to dance before the ccNSO Council Meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Bart was dancing.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: So, good afternoon or good evening maybe already for some. I just wanted to know that once a wise guy said that the trouble with being punctual is that there's nobody there to appreciate it.

And, another thing that I could say – well, if I rephrase this quote, the trouble with being open and transparent is that nobody isn't in the room to listen to it.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah. But anyway, welcome to our open –

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, Debbie is coming.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible] issue.

KATRINA SATAKI: So, Debbie is not an issue.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: [Inaudible].

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, [inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, I know. I know you're on. So we'll start the meeting. We'll start with welcomes and apologies. I have a list but may I ask Kim or Joke to... Yeah, the apologies. Kim.

KIMBERLY CARLSON: So we have the apologies from the representatives with the Regional Organizations, Peter Vergote, [inaudible], Leonid and [Andres.]

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm here, Joke.

KIMBERLY CARLSON: Sincere apologies, Peter Van Roste.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, [I'm] a bit surprised but then – all right, thank you.

As you know that the minutes from our 1st September meeting are already published and all actions are completed. We can see in our Council wiki space.

Surprisingly enough, we had no intermeeting Council decisions, so no decisions were taken. But we did a launch in nomination process for our Board seat number 11 and Council.

And in front of you, you see a draft resolution with some background in it. So, as you remember, we appointed Joke Braeken as Nomination Manager in accordance with the Board Nominations Guideline of the ccNSO, the one that we adopted during our September meeting.

And, the Nominations Manager reported that during the nomination period, only one candidate and it was Chris Disspain, was nominated, seconded and Chris accepted the nomination.

And, we have a draft resolution. Can we scroll, please? Well, as we agreed during our previous meetings, I'm not going to read the resolution but the idea is that we approve the results and congratulated Chris.

So, may I ask for a motion? Demi was first, so moved by Demi and seconded by Nigel.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. [Inaudible].

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

NIGEL ROBERTS: Madam K, may I suggest on this one rather than taking a formal vote, we approve this one by acclimation?

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, of course.

[Applause]

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. And then, Agenda Item 5.1, we've also launched the General Council elections in all our regions. And five – all five regions have nominated and seconded candidates, one candidate per region. And, these candidates also accepted their nominations and we had Q&A session with our candidates during our first meeting date yesterday.

Again, we have a draft resolution where we adopt the results of the elections and congratulate the candidates. Again, may I ask for a motion? Moved by Peter and seconded by Demi. Thank you.

Are we happy with that?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yup.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yup, okay.

Any discussions? No? No discussions?

All in favor, please raise your hands.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: In favor?

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, in favor.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: You can, yes, unless you do not trust in yourself.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I think we didn't want to congratulate ourselves.

KATRINA SATAKI: Oh, you don't. Well, in that case, who abstained? One abstention.

Anyone against? No, thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: The decision been taken.

Then, we also had a Special Council Election in the African Region. As you remember, Vika stepped down and therefore, we have an open seats for this region. And so here, we have draft resolution in which again, we adopt the election results. We have Abdalla Omari who was nominated, seconded and who accepted his nomination. So, we adopt the election report and congratulate Abdalla.

May I have a motion? Abibu and seconded by Young Eum. Thank you.

Anyone against? Anybody abstains?

So, all in favor. Thank you.

And then, the next agenda item is around Special Council Elections in the North American Region. So, as you know, unfortunately, Becky steps down at the end of this meeting and to fill her vacancy, a Special Council Election in the North American Region needs to be conducted.

And for this, we will need to set a timeline and appoint an Election Manager as usually according to procedure. So, we have a timeline. Yes, the one that was sent together by the other documents. We just basically launched Call for Nominations and secondments. However, for this region, it's going to be fairly simple. Yes, Nigel?

NIGEL ROBERTS: Again, just to make life easy, can I suggest we take both parts A and B as one?

KATRINA SATAKI: Absolutely, thank you.

Anyone wants to move? Nigel, thank you, and seconded by Becky.

So, who is in favor? Can somebody in the audience, please? Yes, everybody is in favor. Thank you. Resolution passed.

Next agenda item is around the Charter of the ccTLD World e-mail list. Today during Guidelines Review Committee update, I already talked a little bit about this mailing list. As you remember, the charter of the ccTLD World list was limited only to those e-mails that were related to IANA Transition and Accountability. Well, since now, transition is over, we can, well, either we delete the list or keep it for further communication whenever needed.

So, the Guidelines Review Committee is going to work on on this. We have –

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: It's submitted.

KATRINA SATAKI: It's submitted too in the package, yes.

So, do you – well, no, I'll do it the other way. Anyone who wants to move?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Becky.

KATRINA SATAKI: Becky and?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Stephen.

KATRINA SATAKI: And Stephen, second by Stephen.

So, any discussions, anyone who wants to raise an issue, ask a question? Yes, Peter, please.

PETER VAN ROSTE: Just a very small detail but in the document, I noticed that the URL link to the archives of the mailing list was submitted. So we might want to change that and just add the URL.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you, Peter. We can certainly do that.

Anything else? No?

In that case, those of you who are in favor of adopting this charter, please raise your hand.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: All in favor.

KATRINA SATAKI: All in favor. Thank you very much.

Then, Agenda Item number 7, it's around the ccNSO Guideline: Special Review Teams.

As you remember, this guideline has already been submitted to the Council and off to some discussions, so the guideline was sent back to the Guidelines Review Committee with the request to improve wordings in the document.

So, the Guidelines Review Committee has done that, sent the document back to the Council. And, now... It's your call. Should we adopt to the changes of this guideline or – if you're not entirely happy with this document.

So, may I have a motion in this? Moved by Peter and seconded by Alejandra.

So, anyone would like to comment on this?

Debbie, may I ask you? Do you have any issues?

[DEBBIE MONAHAN]: No, I was happy with the changes that were made that reflected the conversation that we [on that call].

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much. Bart, please.

BART BOSWINKEL: Just for the record, Margarita is now online as well. So we need to call for [inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah. Thank you very much. Thank you.

Welcome Margarita.

So, if there are no further questions or comments, in that case, may I ask those who are in favor of this document to raise your hand? All in favor. Thank you.

BART BOSWINKEL: Margarita?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

BART BOSWINKEL: In favor as well.

KATRINA SATAKI: Margarita, in favor as well. Thank you.

Agenda Item #8: Adoption of the Final Report and Closure of the Working Group EPSRP, Submission of the Final Report to the ICANN Board of Directors.

So, we have discussed this already a little during our prep meeting. As you remember, this working group prepared a report, posted it for public comments, received support from GAC and ALAC, and some criticism from SSAC.

The day before yesterday, we met with some people from the SSAC and tried to address the issues, that perhaps we are not understood or there were some misunderstanding in the scope of the working group and some of those things around the work of this working group.

With this, the discussion we had, well, the constructive and productive discussion we had with SSAC, they decided that they would need some more time to look into these issues and they will need approximately four weeks for that. And therefore, as you, again, remember, during today's meeting with the Board. So, the current draft resolution stands like that, the ccNSO Council notes that ICANN's SSAC will be providing an additional consideration of the EPSRP Working Group within four weeks in time for the next ccNSO Council meeting on 15th December 2016.

Therefore, the ccNSO Council will defer any further decision until its next meeting and request that the EPSRP Working Group

remains available to review and advice the ccNSO Council with respect to the upcoming iteration of the report by SSAC.

So that's the current proposal. Let me just wait for the outcome of further discussion within SSAC. That's a proposal. So the question is – may I have a motion? Yeah, Abibu. Moved by Abibu and seconded by Byron.

So, the question goes to you. Is this okay? So, shall we wait and see how we can resolve issues before we make any other steps like close the working group and submit the report? Any comments? Yes, Young Eum?

YOUNG EUM LEE:

I think that the ccNSO in its response to the SSAC opinion has actually voiced our sort of stand on this issue. And so, I am hoping that they will be returning a document that would be a lot more favorable for us.

KATRINA SATAKI:

Well, we do not know if it will be favorable or not but we certainly hope that some of our concerns will be addressed as well.

Any other comments? Well, if not, then may I ask those who are in favor to raise your hand?

BART BOSWINKEL: Margarita? In favor as well.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. All in favor then.

Then, next hot topic in our agenda, it's about Uniform Framework of Principles and Recommendations for Cross-Community Working Groups.

Well, we have this Framework of Principles in the back of our documents. And so, if we decide to adopt the framework, then the ccNSO and the GNSO Councils are advised to reach out to SO and the Advisory Committees to support to adopt the framework as well.

So, as we heard during our meeting with the GNSO Council, they have already adopted this framework.

We have a draft decision here. May I ask for a motion? I think that was Stephen's hand. Yeah? Yes, thank you. So, it's moved by Stephen and seconded by –

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Becky.

KATRINA SATAKI: Becky. Thank you.

So, any comments on this document? Yes, please, Young Eum.

YOUNG EUM LEE: Yes, this item is related to Item #4, the supporting of CCWG-IG as a charting organization by the ccNSO and I would like to expand on that when we get to that issue. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much. So, may I ask for a vote on this? Who is in favor?

BART BOSWINKEL: Margarita? Also in favor.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. All in favor.

BART BOSWINKEL: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: And we move to the next agenda item. As you heard, again, it was yesterday during two updates and discussions on a PDP.

We had a proposal to start drafting charters, charter that would be included as annexes to the Issues report.

Actually, we should probably issue a call for volunteers for these drafting teams. I should also include the definition and the refinement of the scope of the PDP.

So, may I ask for a motion? Moved by Nigel, seconded by Young Eum. Thank you.

Any comments on this resolution, any questions? Yes, seeing and hearing none, so who is in favor of this resolution?

BART BOSWINKEL: Margarita? Also in favor.

KATRINA SATAKI: All in favor.

BART BOSWINKEL: Yup.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you.

Next agenda item, Adoption of the Charter of the Cross-Community Working Group on Auction Proceeds.

Again, as you remember, at some point, the ccNSO Council revised the decision from March this year and decided to participate in this working group with its expertise according to the charter, we will need to appoint at least two members to five members from ccNSO, meaning ccNSO as a chartered organization.

Others may also participate as observers to this working group without voting rights.

So, may I have a motion of this? [Ching], second, Byron. Thank you.

Any questions and comments on this?

If not, who is in favor?

BART BOSWINKEL: Margarita? Carried unanimously.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you. Unanimous decision. I'm always tempted to tell to Margarita that she's the only one who votes in favor but that would be too mean I'm afraid. Thank you.

Next agenda item, it's the Call for Volunteers for the ccNSO Liaison to ALAC.

As you know, Ron Sherwood fulfilled the role of this ccNSO Liaison to the ALAC well over six years. And unfortunately, he has indicated that he will not continue this role. So, the decision is that we run a call for volunteers and see who is willing to be our liaison to ALAC.

May I have a motion?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Stephen.

KATRINA SATAKI: Stephen and?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Becky.

KATRINA SATAKI: And Becky. Thank you.

Any comments, any questions on this? If not, who is in favor?
Sorry, yeah. Sorry, Young Eum. Please, Young Eum.

YOUNG EUM LEE: I would just like us to recognize the hard work that everyone has done in reporting the ALAC activities to us very faithfully during the past six years.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much and we will come back to this once more a little bit later.

BART BOSWINKEL: And Margarita is in favor as well.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. All in favor.
Oh, actually, we didn't have a vote. Margarita was the only one who voted.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We're all in favor.

KATRINA SATAKI: We're all in favor, yes. Thank you.
Next agenda item, as you know, one of our NomCom Councilors [is] stepping down and it's Celia and she was very active and participated in ccNSO Council Travel Funding and Triage

Committees. Well, we believe that it's good to have a NomCom Councilor to participate in these committees. We reached out to our NomCom Councilors and asked who would like to take a role and to some discussions. So, Crystal volunteered and here we have a resolution.

May I ask for a motion? Demi and seconded by Alejandra. Thank you.

Who is in favor of this resolution?

BART BOSWINKEL: Margarita? Yup.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. Everyone is in favor.

Next one, next, we also discussed during our prep meeting, it's about the Cross-Community Working Group on the Internet Governance.

As you know, we discussed this issue during our prep meeting and we also discussed it with the GNSO. So, I believe that Young Eum wanted to say something before we... Yeah.

YOUNG EUM LEE: Thank you, Kristina. Katrina, sorry.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you so much, yes.

YOUNG EUM LEE: It's late in the day.

Although we did discuss it during the prep meeting and the ccNSO/GNSO Council, I don't think we're able to discuss this during the CC meeting itself, so I would like to explain the situation a bit more if it's okay.

There was a motion by the GNSO Council to withdraw their support as a chartering organization for CCWG-IG because it was stated during the ccNSO/GNSO Council that the major reason for their proposal was that they did not think that the CCWG-IG's format coincided with the new CCWG Working Group framework that was proposed and passed a while ago. And so, that was their reason.

However, during the discussions, it was noted that many of the issues that the group was involved in or that the group was focusing on were issues that may not have a lot to do with the daily activities within ICANN but are very important issues that the ICANN community needs to be aware of. And so, although it does not fit the five stage sort of initiation formation framework

and although it does not have a final product as a result as deliverable that the work of the group needs to be continued.

And so, I think today at the GNSO Council pass the resolution continuing their support of the group as a chartering organization. However, it was contingent upon a comprehensive review of the CCWG-IG charter by the CCWG-IG. And so, I mean, we haven't been able to discuss this but it is the general sort of consensus I think of this group that the group will be reviewing the charter and trying to engage in a more active informational role through the ICANN community. I would just like to also state that as a member of that group and as a co-Chair of that group, I would also like to. I mean, I am also willing to be more active in the information role regarding what the group is doing.

I just like to point to one issue that the group was involved in recently that the ICANN community really needs to, well, maybe not anymore but that could have been very influential or could have been affecting the ICANN sort of status of ICANN as a global organization. And that is the proposed resolutions on the country names, country code top-level domain names and geographic names and their resolution on IP address allocation, and facilitating the transition to any deployment of IPv6.

So those resolutions did not go through fortunately but those were issues that we needed to be aware of and this group was

active – I mean, following the initiation by Olivier, who was able to come up with a response to these resolutions in a relatively short amount of time. We feel that those type of responses were instrumental in the non-passing of those issues within the WTSA, the World Telecommunication Standardization Association, I think.

So, we will continue the work and try to inform the external sort of community of ICANN with regard to the issues that the ICANN is involved in. And so, one of the things that this group is going to be involved in in the future is participation in the IEGF forums and informing the community outside ICANN of what the ICANN is doing. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you, Young Eum. Becky.

BECKY BURR: No.

KATRINA SATAKI: No? You changed your mind, okay. Any other comment?

So, at this point probably, we won't take any decisions but – well, the agreement was that apparently, the current vehicle is

not the correct one. And my understanding is that the working group will try to come up with a better proposal, Young Eum.

Let's go and another thing, that's reporting back to the community, so may I ask you, Young Eum, to be a little bit more active in providing us with some feedback. And if there's a need for the ccNSO to be more active and looking for other ways how to ensure that these important issues are properly addressed, then please let us know and we will take the lead. Thank you.

Next agenda items, item – it's 14.2, Next Steps: What are Some Use of the Cross-Community Working Group on the Use of Country and Territory Names.

Again, we had discussed this issue several times during prep meeting and during our meetings with the Board, GAC, ALAC. Well, again, as you remember, there's no – probably at this point, the group might not come up with a consensus decision. But based on the internal discussions to date feedback from the community members and result of the Cross-Community session in Helsinki, the group concluded that the framework is not feasible under current circumstances. But at this point, we will not take any decisions, any further steps because we wait for the final report to be produced by this Cross-Community Working Group.

Any comments, any questions or anything you'd like to add, propose? If not, let's move to the next agenda item. It's the Council Updates.

First, it's 15.1, it's Chair's Update. Yes, during the meeting here, I participated in the meeting where the other SO/AC Chairs and we discussed the formation of specific Review Teams. As you know, now, I will have to decide on our participation on this SSR-2, Security, Stability Review Team.

The call for volunteers could be an issue then there's a list of people who have indicated that they seek endorsement from the ccNSO. There's no clarity about this affiliation or they just feel like they would like to have endorsement by a particular SO/AC where there's something that's – what confusing to SO/AC Chairs because it's the first time we do it under the new Bylaws. And actually, we do not have any guidelines how Chairs of SOs and ACs will come up with the decision, with the final composition of the Review Team.

According to our guideline and it was our understanding and I voiced this – shared our point of view with other leaders of SOs and ACs. Our understanding the way we read, Bylaws is that we at the ccNSO have the right to appoint three members to this group and we have the right to recall these members if they do not perform or for any other reason.

Again, according to the Bylaws, well, any SO/AC may appoint up until seven people and if other SOs and ACs decide not to appoint three, for example, appoint one or two, the total number of Review Team being 21, then the Chairs of the SOs and ACs will have to decide first whether we should try to achieve a number of 21 members on the Review Team or just go where the number over the appointed. And, if we decide to go for 21, then it's up to the Chairs to select which people from the pool of nominations nominated, people should be appointed.

I know it sounds very complicated because there's really no clarity around that. Our belief is that even if we appoint seven people, we can have something like marks for up to three of them and we expect that these people will be selected.

If that's understanding from other SOs and ACs, at this point, it's quite difficult to say because my feeling is that we have moved much further than others because our Guidelines Review Committee worked really hard to ensure that the guideline on specific Review Teams is in place.

That was a pretty long one. Sorry about that. I just thought that this is something you should know that there are discussions and there's not clarity around procedures of how to make sure that these new powers really work.

So, thank you then – Vice Chairs update, any [inaudible]. Demi?
No, nothing?

DEMI GETSCHKO: That's true. We are. Nothing particular to report other than the work on the CSC, which has been reported elsewhere.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. Any updates from Councilors? Stephen, please.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: If I recall correctly from the guideline that set up the establishment of the appointee, the ECAC that that person needs to report to the Council monthly with regards to activity. On making that report, there has been no activity. As far as I know, no one has requested to exercise any of the community powers over the last month in any AC or SO.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much. Very constructive update.

Any other updates? Yes, Nigel, please.

NIGEL ROBERTS: Thank you. This will come as absolutely no surprise to anybody who is in the last session just less than an hour ago. But for those

who went and for the audience, there was a very constructive discussion with ICANN’s General Counsel John Jeffrey.

During which he quite openly, surprisingly and pleasingly offered to work with us or anyone of us to assist legal in having the right documents to use in the future litigation that might involve ccTLDs. I’m [willing] to do that. But if there’s anybody else who wants to assist in that, that will be very welcome.

KATRINA SATAKI:

Thank you very much. So, any other updates? If not, then we will skip the next one because ROs excused themselves.

Updates from liaisons, unless they want to give something now – yes, please?

BART BOSWINKEL:

There is a written update from Patrick Myles.

KATRINA SATAKI:

Yes, thank you. But what I wanted to say so, there is a written update in either... If you want to say something now since you’re here, you’re welcome. If not, just the written updates. Thank you so much.

And yeah, by the way, it’s a very important thing. Just received an e-mail from official confirmation from Chair of ALAC, that’s

Maureen has been reappointed as ALAC’s liaison to ccNSO. Again, congratulations.

So, next meetings that we have, it’s 15th December, 11:00 UTC and then next one after that in 20th January 2017 and that will be 7:00 p.m. UTC, 19:00 UTC.

Next agenda item, Any Other Business. Is there anything you would like to raise?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, Stephen, please.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Question to the Chair, are you going to circulate that now or in the next item?

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, please, Byron.

BYRON HOLLAND: Can I just make a suggestion that for action items that we include them in the packages themselves as opposed to make

people go search for them in other places so we can see it right here with the rest of the package?

In future meetings, necessarily this one.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: We used to have them in our minutes and now they've gone away to another space and I'll just suggest that for ease of use, for the rest of the councilors and for immediacy would be helpful to have them back where they used to [inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you, duly noted.

Any other? Any Other Business? Yes, Young Eum, please.

YOUNG EUM LEE: I would just like to express a brief appreciation to our Secretariat for providing us with the ample assistance during this meeting.

KATRINA SATAKI:

Yes, thank you very much. Complete agree. There's a summary, daily summaries had been very useful and thank you for compiling them.

So now, we go to Agenda Item #19 and it's probably the most important part of the meeting: Thank Yous and Welcome.

The ccNSO Council welcomed Jian Zhang, NomCom Appointee and Abdalla Omari, .ke (Kenya) as its new members. Please do not forget to provide your pictures to the Secretariat so that we can update our website accordingly. Thank you so much.

Should we applaud now or we do it? Yup.

[Applause]

So, welcome.

On behalf of the ccNSO, the ccNSO Council thanks the local host NIXI for their warm welcome and assistance, and in particular for sponsoring the ccNSO cocktail and assistance in organizing it. Thank you so much.

[Applause]

The Council also thanks the other sponsors: CIRA, InternetNZ, SIDN, USAG, .br, DNS Belgium, and Nominet. Thank you very much. Without you, it wouldn't be possible.

[Applause]

The ccNSO Council expresses wholeheartedly its thanks to Vika Mpisane (.za) and Celia Lerman Friedman (NomCom) for their years of active service on this ccNSO Council and hope they will remain active in the community. Thank you so much.

[Applause]

The ccNSO thanks Ron Sherwood (.vi) and extends its sincere appreciation for the many years of service provided to both the ccNSO and the greater ICANN community. We wish you a long and happy retirement. Ron’s presence at ICANN is missed by us all.

[Applause]

And actually here, we have a printed version of this thank you note. And if all signed it, then Stephen promised to deliver this letter to Ron with our warmest thanks. So here is the letter. You can sign it later.

Finally, the ccNSO Council wants to thank Becky Burr for all her years of service on the ccNSO Council and to the ccTLD community.

Becky was one of the members of the ERC Advisory Group that advised ICANN in 2002 and 2003 era on the creation of the ccNSO. She became councilor in December 2006. You should have waited one more month perhaps and then ten years. Becky

was a member of the Redlegation Working Group and Vice Chair of the Framework of Interpretation Working Group.

Finally, this Council wants to thank her for all her heavy lifting, contributions in the CCWG-Accountability, although not as a ccNSO appointed member.

And then, forming the ccTLD Community about the thorny and complex issues involved. In this capacity, it was only logical that as a member of the ccNSO Council, she was part of the group that made the final sign off on their Accountability Work Stream 1 proposals, which was a condition for the transition.

Becky, thank you and we wish you wisdom, humor and perseverance on the ICANN Board. Thank you so much.

[Applause]

On this said note, I would like to close the –

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah? Oh, yeah, sorry. [Inaudible].

BECKY BURR: I said my thanks last night but I want to thank you for the great party and just promise you that I'm really not going very far away.

KATRINA SATAKI: We certainly do hope so. Thank you very much.

And with that, one optimistic note, I'd like to close the meeting and wish you all great remaining time on this ICANN meeting and safe way back home. Thank you.

[Applause]

Please, before you leave, leave your autograph on the letter to Ron.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]